

426 W CEDAR ST., PO BOX 1666, SHELTON, WA 98584  
 SHELTON (360) 427-9670, Ext: 352, ELMA (360) 482-5269, BELFAIR (360) 275-4467  
 WEB: <http://www.co.mason.wa.us> FAX: (360) 427-7798

**APPLICATION FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH REVIEW**

<u>PERMIT NUMBER</u>	<u>PAYMENT INFORMATION</u>	<u>TYPE OF REVIEW</u>
<b>CRT</b>  _____	Receipt Number _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Cash <input type="checkbox"/> Check  Date of Payment _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Septic <i>and</i> Water \$310 <input type="checkbox"/> Septic \$170 <input type="checkbox"/> Water \$191 (Individual and Two Party) <input type="checkbox"/> Group B WS \$55.00(+\$55.00/hour beyond 1 hour) <input type="checkbox"/> Property Evaluation \$258 <input type="checkbox"/> Resample \$22 lab fee

**Important Notice:** Findings & determinations of this review reflect observed conditions as they exist on the day the evaluation was performed. Absolutely no claim is made by this office, expressed or implied concerning the future success, failure or permit approval of the system and site evaluated.

**\*\*\*FILL OUT APPLICATION COMPLETELY AND ACCURATELY\*\*\***

An application is considered complete when the fee is paid, parts 1, 2, and 3 of this application form are completed, necessary paperwork is attached (i.e. pumpers report) and when required soil evaluation holes have been excavated.

**PART 1. APPLICANT/PARCEL IDENTIFICATION**

Name of Applicant \_\_\_\_\_ Telephone \_\_\_\_\_

Mailing Address of Applicant \_\_\_\_\_

City \_\_\_\_\_ State \_\_\_\_\_ Zip \_\_\_\_\_

12-digit Tax Parcel No.    \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ -- \_ \_ \_ \_ \_

Site Address \_\_\_\_\_

Brief Legal Description \_\_\_\_\_

Driving Directions \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

---

---

**PART 2: TYPE OF REVIEW** **Septic System**

- Age of system \_\_\_\_\_
- Age of house \_\_\_\_\_
- Number of bedrooms \_\_\_\_\_
- Name of last owner \_\_\_\_\_
- Is house currently occupied?  YES  NO
- If not occupied, how long has it been vacant? \_\_\_\_\_

 **Water System**

- Number of service connections on the water system? \_\_\_\_\_
- If a public water system, name of system \_\_\_\_\_
- WFI number \_\_\_\_\_

 **Property Evaluation (soil logs)**

Property evaluations provide, in general terms, the suitability for a parcel for septic system placement. **THIS DOES NOT GUARANTEE FUTURE SEPTIC SYSTEM APPROVAL.**

- Describe the intended use of the property and the reason for requesting the review.

---


---

---

---

**PART 3: PLOT PLAN**

Use the space below to draw a detailed plot plan, or attach a detailed plot plan to this application. The plot plan should include the following: North Arrow, Location of Test Holes, Location of Existing Septic System, Dimensions of Property, Location of any Drinking Water Sources (wells, springs, etc.) Roads, Easements, Surface Water, and Buildings on the property. (skip Part 3 for Group B water system review)

	<b><u>LOT SIZE</u></b>
	_____ X _____ _____ Acres
	<b><u>COMPASS</u></b>
	

Applicant's Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

**PART 4: HEALTH DEPARTMENT FINDINGS – OFFICIAL USE ONLY**

**Septic System**

Yes   No

- The septic tank was inspected by a certified septic tank pumper within the last 3 years and was found to be in satisfactory condition. A pumbers report is attached.

---

- Records for this property contain a septic permit, design, final approval and as as-built drawing.

---

- The site was inspected and the system location appears to be consistent with recorded documents.

---

- The area of the on-site system appears to be maintained in an acceptable manner.

---

- Was Operation and Maintenance a condition of permit approval?

---

- Is a copy of a current Operation and Maintenance report attached?

**Water System**

*Individual Water System*

Yes   No

- A water sample was taken by health department staff and analyzed. Total coliform bacteria were determined to be absent. Laboratory results are attached to this report.

---

- The well cap was inspected. The sanitary seal appears satisfactory.

---

- The well casing was inspected. The casing projected above ground and the ground sloped away from the casing.

---

- The well site was inspected. No septic systems, chemical storage facilities, manure pile, animal feedlots or other obvious sources of contamination appeared within a 100-foot radius of the well.

*Public Water System*

Yes   No

- Records indicate water-sampling requirements are being satisfied.

---

- Records indicate the Water Facility Inventory form is current.

---

- Department files contain water system design and letter of approval.

**Soil Conditions**

Test Hole #1	Test Hole #2	Test Hole #3
Soil Type: _____	Soil Type: _____	Soil Type: _____
Restrictive layer: _____	Restrictive layer: _____	Restrictive layer: _____
Slope: _____	Slope: _____	Slope: _____
Distance to Shoreline: _____	Distance to Shoreline: _____	Distance to Shoreline: _____

---

---

**PART 5: HEALTH DEPARTMENT OBSERVATIONS – FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY**

**Primary Drainfield**

Yes   No

     The system appears to be functioning adequately at the time of the inspection. (Only applicable if system has been in use on a regular basis for the last 6 months.)

---

     Sanitary survey?    Pass    Fail    Suspect    Not applicable

**Water System**

Yes   No

     The water source consists of an individual (or a two-party) well that appears to be a satisfactory source of potable water for a single-family (or two single family) residence(s). The water was sampled and coliform bacteria were absent.

---

     The water source is a public water system that appears to be in compliance with applicable regulations.

---

     Well Construction Permit    Pass    Fail

---

---

**PART 6: COMMENTS**

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

INSPECTOR \_\_\_\_\_ DATE \_\_\_\_\_

**Important Notice:** Findings & determinations of this review reflect observed conditions as they exist on the day the evaluation was performed. Absolutely no claim is made by this office, expressed or implied concerning the future success, failure or permit approval of the system and site evaluated.