

## BOARD OF MASON COUNTY COMMISSIONERS' PROCEEDINGS

MARCH 15, 2005

1. Call to Order – The meeting was called to order at 9:01 a.m. by the Chairperson.
2. Pledge of Allegiance – Chairperson Kamin led the flag salute.
3. Roll Call - Present: Cmmr. Dist. 1 – Lynda Ring Erickson; Cmmr. Dist. 2 – Tim Sheldon (Left at 9:30 a.m.); Cmmr. Dist. 3 – Jayni L. Kamin.
4. Approval of Minutes – None
5. Correspondence and Organizational Business
  - 5.1 Correspondence
    - 5.1.1 Mason County Lodging Tax Advisory Committee Applicants: Everett Hughes, Cynthia Sund, and Frank Benavente
    - 5.1.2 Mason County Financial Advisory Committee wrote concerning Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement 34 compliance.
    - 5.1.3 A letter of recommendation to reappoint George Campbell to the Mason County Water Conservancy Board was received from John B. Noble.
    - 5.1.4 Three Fingers Partnership wrote concerning vesting of county regulations.
    - 5.1.5 Washington State Dept. of Fish & Wildlife wrote concerning the development of public restroom facilities at a public tideland access site on North Bay in Mason County.
    - 5.1.6 Emergency Medical Services Advisory Council – Fire Protection Dist. #3 Representative: Patti Graeber; Alternate Representative: Mike White.
  - 5.2 Announcement that Public Works has set a bid opening date for CRP 1792, St. Andrews Drive for culvert replacement. Bid opening is set for Friday, April 15, 2005 at 9:00 a.m.
  - 5.3 Introduction of Community Development Director - Emmett Dobey.
6. Open Forum for Citizen Input
  - 6.1 Bob Gossett, Northeast Mason County resident, spoke to the traffic condition going through North Mason from SR 106. Was concerned about getting emergency vehicles to the area in the time of an emergency.
  - 6.2 Frank Wokojance, Kamilche, was concerned with several items in the Growth Management he felt is very faulty. He felt the requirement for five-acres of land should only be one-acre parcels. He questioned if Growth Management is compatible with Homeland Security.
7. Adoption of Agenda – **Cmmr. Ring Erickson/Sheldon moved and seconded to adopt the agenda as presented. Motion carried unanimously. K-aye; RE-aye; S-aye.**
8. Approval of Consent Agenda:
  - 8.1 Approval of the Rustlewood Caretaker Employment Agreement between Mason County and Mr. Chris Greiner.
  - 8.2 Approval of the Interlocal Agreement between Clark County Health Department and Mason County Department of Health Services that provides \$56,241 for HIV intervention services for the county.
  - 8.3. Approval of amendment #1 to Consolidated Contract #C13041 between Washington State Department of Health and Mason County Department of Health Services.
  - 8.4 Approval of Veterans Assistance Fund applications for: Jesse R. Johnson – housing \$161.52; Douglas A. Drinkall – utilities \$244.26; Melissa Morris – food \$300.00; Charles G. Hartman, Jr. – food \$600.00; Vernon L. Oldfield – housing \$512.82; Samuel J. Gonzales – burial \$600.00; Raymond (Mrs. Dorothy) Strait – food \$200.00; and Randell B. Nealy – housing \$600.00 for a total of \$3,218.60.

**BOARD OF MASON COUNTY COMMISSIONERS' PROCEEDINGS  
MARCH 15, 2005 - PAGE 2**

- 8.5 Approval to amend interim Ordinance No. 104-03 (Ordinance which adopted temporary sign regulations for the unincorporated areas of Mason County) so that it does not apply to the Allyn and Belfair Urban Growth Areas; to make the revised ordinance effective until September 17, 2005; and to set a public hearing on this action at 9:30 a.m. on Tuesday, April 12, 2005. **Ordinance No. 20-05 (Exhibit C)**
- 8.6 Approval of Warrants
- |                      |             |              |
|----------------------|-------------|--------------|
| Claims Clearing Fund | 86228-86575 | \$347,578.48 |
| Salary Clearing Fund | 146841      | \$ 148.03    |
- 8.7 Approval of road design drawings for CRP 1669, Johns Prairie Road/MCRA walkway and authorize Public Works to solicit RFP's to select a consultant to provide right of way acquisition services.
- 8.8 Approval for the Chairperson to execute the plan sheet(s) for CRP 1730, Schafer Park Road, thus allowing right of way negotiations to begin on this project.
- 8.9 Approval for the Chairperson to execute the inter-agency agreement with the State of Oregon Engineering Services Unit to support GIS work performed by Mason County.
- 8.10 Approval for Public Works to hire a summer intern off the Washington State Department of Transportation list of available candidates.
- 8.11 Approve the recommendations of the Lodging Tax Advisory Committee and award the following amounts:
- |   |                 |
|---|-----------------|
| Mason County – Tourism Support Position                                 | \$16,000        |
| <u>Marketing -</u>  |                 |
| South Hood Canal Business Association                                   | \$1,700         |
| Black Hills Audubon Society   | \$2,000         |
| Mary E. Theler Community Association                                    | \$500           |
| Mason Conservation District   | \$800           |
| South Hood Canal Business Association                                   | \$5,150         |
| WA State Dept of Natural Resources                                      | <u>\$ 525</u>   |
| Total Marketing   | \$10,675        |
| <u>Events</u>   |                 |
| Harmony Hill Retreat Center   | \$ 1,250        |
| Hoodsport Community Events Assoc. – 2005 Celebrate Hoodsport            | \$ 2,500        |
| Hoodsport Community Assoc. – 2005 Second Annual Chum Derby              | \$ 2,000        |
| Kristmas Town Kiwanis – Bluegrass Festival                              | \$ 1,500        |
| Mary E. Theler Community Center – Summer Daze                           | \$ 2,500        |
| Mason County Forest Festival  | \$ 2,500        |
| Mason County NPRA Rodeo Committee – NPRA Rodeo                          | \$ 2,000        |
| North Mason Rotary – Taste of Hood Canal                                | \$ 1,000        |
| South Mason Youth Soccer Club – So Mason Kickoff Tournament             | <u>\$ 5,000</u> |
| Total Events  | \$20,250        |
| <u>Tourism Promotion &amp; Operation of Visitor Information Centers</u> |                 |
| Shelton-Mason Chamber – Tourism Promotion & Shelton VIC                 | \$20,000        |
| Shelton-Mason Chamber – Hoodsport VIC                                   | \$12,000        |
| Mary E. Theler Center – Visitor Information Center                      | \$16,500        |
| & \$3,500 to be re-submitted for web site                               |                 |
| No Mason Chamber of Commerce – marketing                                | \$ 3,500        |
| Mason County - Tourism Promotion & VIC at Fairgrounds                   | \$ 20,000       |
| Mason County Historical Society – Museum at Fairgrounds                 | <u>\$ 2,100</u> |
| & \$3,000 to be re-submitted for tourism promotion                      |                 |
| Total Awards  | \$74,100        |
| Total to be Re-Submitted  | \$ 6,500        |
| <u>Reserved</u>   |                 |
| Reserved for Joint Marketing Effort                                     | \$10,000        |

**BOARD OF MASON COUNTY COMMISSIONERS' PROCEEDINGS  
MARCH 15, 2005 - PAGE 3**

Reserved for six potential Kiosk Sites	\$15,000
Reserved for highway signs advertising Visitor Information Centers	<u>\$ 4,000</u>
Total Reserved	\$29,000
Total All Awards	\$121,025
Total to Be Re-Submitted	\$ 6,500
Total Reserved	<u>\$ 29,000</u>
Total all Commitments	<u>\$156,525</u>

8.12 Approval of the Juvenile Accountability Block Grant (JABG) Response Form.

Chairperson Kamin commented the recommendations by the Lodging Tax Advisory Committee is one of the largest expenditures proposed to spend. This is the beginning of ramping up efforts to provide high quality tourism promotion and marketing for Mason County.

Cmmr. Sheldon thanked those who participated on the Committee for the recommendations. With Alderbrook and some of the new investments in Mason County there is more money to spend on tourism and it brings good clean industry to the area.

Chairperson Kamin recognized Ione Siegler for working with the group and spending a lot of time helping develop a system to keep track of the expenditures.

**Cmmr. Ring Erickson/Sheldon moved and seconded to approve the consent agenda Items 8.1 through 8.12 as presented. Motion carried unanimously. K-aye; RE-aye; S-aye.**

Cmmr. Sheldon excused himself (approx. 9:30 a.m.) to attend a public hearing at the legislature in Olympia.

9. 9:30 a.m. Public Hearings and Items Set for a Certain Time

9.1 Public hearing to consider revisions to the 2005 – 2010 Six Year Transportation Improvement Program.

Bill Tabor, County Engineer, noted the Board approved the Six Year Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP) last year. Subsequent to the approval they obtained funding for project #20 by the County Road Administration Board (CRAB) and it was necessary to revise the Six Year TIP. They also wanted to take the opportunity to make some other revisions to the Six Year TIP.

- 1) Priority #6 Grapeview Loop Road – 3. Originally they proposed \$3.1 million to be spent in 2005 for construction of the project. They decided to take a different approach and only do clearing and grubbing project in 2005 which would allow the utility companies to relocate their utilities and next year the road project would be constructed.
- 2) Priority #7 Skookum Creek Bridge – It was originally scheduled for construction in 2005. Funding issues needed to be worked out with Bureau of Indian Affairs. That will be worked out in 2006. They moved \$900,000 from 2005 to 2006 for construction. They kept \$150,000 in 2005 for redesign of the project. The project will now quite likely include a bridge with sidewalks and may also include a temporary detour bridge.
- 3) Priority #12 North Mason Corridor Improvements – It was initially named the SR 3 Improvements in Belfair. It has been renamed to allow the County to look at improvements to SR3 but also the alternate route around Belfair and other alternatives that need to be considered. No dollar changes.

**BOARD OF MASON COUNTY COMMISSIONERS' PROCEEDINGS  
MARCH 15, 2005 - PAGE 4**

- 4) Priority #20 Cloquallum Road – 3R – Improvements to a section of roadway from Mile Post 5.83 to 6.83. Money has been budgeted in 2008 for preliminary engineering and 2009 with construction scheduled in 2010.

Originally they had a \$6 million construction program in 2005. With the changes it has dropped it down to approximately \$4 million.

Cmmr. Ring-Erickson asked for the definition of “grubbing”.

Mr. Tabor responded grubbing is when the roots and vegetative material is removed from the surface after the land has been cleared.

Darren Nienaber, Deputy Prosecutor, asked for more detail to clarify the improvements on the North Mason Corridor Improvements.

Mr. Tabor responded that Pertee just completed their study of SR 3 which included widening of the corridor and installation of the sewer line down SR 3. There was a previous study approx. three years ago dealing with the Belfair Bypass. There was a preliminary design by Skillings & Connolly that looked at those issues. They want to go back and revisit the study and see where they need to go with it. They understood there was an environmental document that was partially completed. They want to see if it is reasonable to continue with that document and finalize it. There are other opportunities with Kitsap County they may want to look at such as development of the industrial area around the airport and how Mason County’s transportation system plays in with that.

Mr. Nienaber commented the County is on appeal of the Belfair Plan. The Growth Management Hearings Board may look at this and say this supercedes a couple things that previously happened. They will want to know what the County is planning.

He questioned if the proposal is for a study and analysis and some construction under a wider range of alternatives or scenarios. They are not firmly doing one construction project. They would need to look at the whole Belfair area and see the highest priority.

Mr. Tabor commented they need to take a look at the whole North Mason transportation corridor based on the information they have. The first thing they have to do is come up with a plan as to where they need to go next and present it to the Commissioners based on the information they currently have.

Mr. Nienaber asked if the allocation provides a placeholder for dedicated funding for the Belfair area.

Mr. Tabor responded, yes.

Cmmr. Kamin interjected the Belfair Bypass was on the Six Year TIP up until a few years ago. They are replacing it with a more wholistic look at how they can best address the transportation corridors in the North Mason areas. Safety is of utmost importance to them. Also, the facilitation of commerce and looking at developing an industrial corridor which would link with the project at the Kitsap airport. This could provide an additional corridor through the North Mason area.

Cmmr. Ring Erickson added she is interested in examining the options without a great deal more of consultant study and looking at where funding might be available to maximize the opportunities to take advantage of state funding and potential federal funding.

Pat Martin questioned if they could explain the Lynch Road project.

**BOARD OF MASON COUNTY COMMISSIONERS' PROCEEDINGS  
MARCH 15, 2005 - PAGE 5**

Mr. Tabor stated that the Lynch Road project which intersects with SR 101 has been on the Six Year TIP for several years as a placeholder. Several years back there was a study done to look at alternatives for improvements to the intersection. The recommendation from the study was to consider using Simmons Road as an alternate to an interchange. They are taking a look at Simmons Road as a potential alternate route to using that intersection.

Cmmr. Kamin noted there were some changes since the study was done and one main change which caused the County to take a look at the Lynch Road/SR101 intersection was Taylor Shellfish purchased most of the property that the Simmons Road is on now and has opened it up for their trucks to provide easier access. One of her personal goals as commissioner, relating to transportation, is to improve access onto the state highways as they are major links. They want to make the access points onto the state highways as safe as they can.

Frank Wokojance the Skookum Creek Bridge was not put in this year. He suggested that a swinging bridge be put in rather than a sidewalk.

Cmmr. Kamin stated they are considering ways to enhance the aesthetics of the bridge and provide a walkway. It is their hope not to have a prolonged closure of the Skookum Creek Bridge road project. They are doing what they can to minimize closures.

John Whalen referred to the North Shore Road erosion repair priority and felt they outspent money for this project. He suggested if the commercial truck traffic was removed or diverted on the Belfair Tahuya it would greatly reduce the damage to the road. The speed limit is 40 mph. As the double dump trucks full of gravel pass in front of his home, the whole house shakes.

Ken VanBuskirk asked that the Board put the Belfair Bypass back on the Six Year TIP. He felt that there has been too much money spent on consultants. Secondly, he requested the County include installing a traffic signal at the intersection of SR300/Clifton Lane on the Six Year TIP.

Bob Harris noted the SR3 Special Road Advisory Committee recommended that both the SR3 remodel and the Belfair Bypass be pursued equally. He submitted a petition from 74 North Mason business people who want the Belfair Bypass built right away. He felt the Bypass will reduce the traffic volume and also the economic life in Belfair.

Ed Edmiston felt the Belfair Bypass was only about access for commercial properties. He felt homes are being condemned to make the Bypass.

Ken Loomis expressed he is not opposed to the Belfair Bypass. He questioned why the County is paying for the improvements on a state highway. He felt there are more priorities to put the money than on the Belfair Bypass. He stressed the County should spend money on county roads.

Chairperson Kamin clarified the money which has been spent to study the Belfair Bypass also included the study of SR 101 corridor project as well. These are the questions they will be looking at and answering in the coming year as they look at the overall big picture of the projects and how they improve the North Mason Corridors.

Cmmr. Ring Erickson added it is her agenda to look for federal and state money. It is anticipated there may be some county funds involved that it would be paid for primarily by state or state/federal dollars as it is a state road.

Frank Wokojance expressed appreciation to the county Road Department when they took his property on Old Olympic Highway.

**BOARD OF MASON COUNTY COMMISSIONERS' PROCEEDINGS  
MARCH 15, 2005 - PAGE 6**

DeAnna Gossett commented there are a lot of businesses in Belfair that are suffering because people don't want to shop in Belfair. She stressed she is not willing to put her life in jeopardy with the traffic. She supported an alternate route as safety is a big issue.

Oscar Larsen commented that he lives in Grapeview and shops in Belfair. He supported a bypass for the Belfair area.

The Board closed the public comment portion of the hearing.

Deputy Prosecutor Darren Nienaber asked for clarification on Item #12 mileage. He understood that the total length of the project is listed as M.P. 24.5 – 27.55. The middle column is listed as one mile and is a typographical error.

**Cmmr. Ring Erickson/Kamin moved and seconded to approve the revised 2005-2010 transportation improvement plan as corrected. Motion carried unanimously. K-aye; RE-aye; S-absent. Resolution No. 21-05 (Exhibit D)**

- 9.2 Public hearing to consider revisions to the 2005 annual construction program.

Bill Bullock, Public Works, expressed when changes are made to the Six-Year Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) they need to be reflected in the Annual Construction Program, which is a detailed version of the first year of the Six Year TIP.

The changes are:

Shelton Matlock Road (outside Lake Nahwatzel) - prioritized for asphalt program.  
Schafer State Park Road - pavement delayed a year.  
Added projects (0.6 mile) to two-shot BST paving program (Craddick Road and Jolliff Road)  
Taken off Skookum Creek Bridge (deferred until next year)  
Limited the amount of money spending on Grapeview Loop Road to \$1 million this year.

There was no public comment.

**Cmmr. Ring Erickson/Kamin moved and seconded to adopt the Revised 2005 Annual Construction Program. Motion carried unanimously. K-aye; RE-aye; S-absent. Ordinance No. 22-05 (Exhibit E)**

- 9.3 Public hearing time to review proposed revisions to the Mason County Development Regulations, Ordinance No. 82-96, Chapter 1.03.032 Development Densities and Dimensional Requirements, adding provision (5) to review the redesign of undeveloped plats or contiguous lots in the Rural Area.

Allan Borden, Planner, noted there were two comments received on the proposed revisions: Email from Steve Clayton.

The proposal is to consider the rural lots, which were platted or divided before current development regulations were in place and which are not designed to modern standards of development or for the modern market.

This addresses old plats around the county (approx. 20) that are undeveloped. The provisions would address future replat of these existing land divisions. Each of the existing lots could be developed on an individual basis. Often it entails combining several small lots into a size large enough to meet current

**BOARD OF MASON COUNTY COMMISSIONERS' PROCEEDINGS  
MARCH 15, 2005 - PAGE 7**

development standards. When this occurs it often leads to encroachment on adjacent lots and sometimes leads to impacts on critical areas.

Lots of the properties are not developed and are owned by one or a few people. The lots sizes and configurations could be planned and redeveloped so that most of the issues can be avoided. With the proposed revisions the plats can be designed to meet modern standards and help with the future sale of the properties newly created.

Cmmr. Ring Erickson questioned why it is proposed for a five-year period to sell off the development rights. One way of achieving the density they want inside the urban growth areas is to use that kind of development rights and incentives. She questioned why the expiration in five years. She asked if the ability to sell the development rights help to achieve the density and affordable housing goals inside the urban growth areas.

Mr. Borden concurred. He referred to the email from Steve Clayton supporting a provision to allow transfer of development rights similar wording as in Section 16.22.060 on performance subdivisions. That provision would be added for this type of lot combination. He also recommended putting an expiration date on the transfer development right five years after the final plat redesign is approved.

Cmmr. Kamin questioned if this was discussed at the Planning Advisory Commission.

Mr. Borden noted the effective period for development rights was not discussed at the Planning Advisory Commission.

Mr. Nienaber questioned if staff is recommending the five years.

Mr. Borden responded, no.

Cmmr. Ring Erickson expressed an interest in hearing from the realtor groups and possibly the master builders.

Bob Fink, Planning Manager, stated the ability to transfer a right to put additional dwelling unit (transfer development right) on a property is something the county code included in 1996. This right has not been exercised to date. The theory is widespread. Some programs work and some don't. The key part to success of transferring development rights is having a market and ability to use the rights. He suggested it would be counter productive to put a five-year cap on the rights because it takes time to create a market.

Cmmr. Ring Erickson asked roughly how many parcels would be subject to this type of change.

Mr. Fink responded they haven't done an exact count, but he believes it is in the thousands. There are several older plats scattered around the county that have several hundred lots in each. One difficulty in counting lots and parcels is that over time many of the parcels have been combined legally and some have been combined through the Assessor's tax statement

Mr. Nienaber asked if Mr. Fink was familiar with Cousins small lot in Allyn next to the dock. It is one buildable lot, which consists of six lots.

Mr. Fink commented he is somewhat familiar with it. It is not unique, but is not common in the sense the lots actually extend out into the tidal area. A significant portion of the property is actually in the tidal zone. It is a common development practice throughout the county for people wishing to build a house to combine a number of lots. However many lots they desire around their house in order to meet septic or

**BOARD OF MASON COUNTY COMMISSIONERS' PROCEEDINGS  
MARCH 15, 2005 - PAGE 8**

other setbacks requirements. There is a constant process of combining lots. It is done through parcel combination or a boundary line adjustment.

Mr. Nienaber questioned how the County ended up with a lot of the old useless paper plats.

Mr. Fink understood that many of the lots were created for mail order sales or given away for a promotion.

Mr. Nienaber asked if there were a lot of plats made without reference to the land.

The board opened the hearing to public comment.

Frank Wokojanec stated that new Kamilche is up on top of a hill and old Kamilche is down by where the bridge will be put in. Mason County was platted from the old wagon road down to Kamilche Point for Shelton.

There was discussion that a lot of the plats were created prior to 1930's. There are a lot of plats that probably have water adequacy issues and other kinds of things that make them questionable in terms of development.

John Hofert referred to the staff report page 3; Section 5 (1). He stated they own 57 undeveloped lots in the 81.65-acre plat of Clear Lake Tracts in Mason County. They support the amendments proposed by staff. He clarified that Clear Lake Tracts were platted in 1931, the current development standards were not in vision. Instead, the vested plats accommodated much greater density than currently zoned. The changes proposed could be applied to Clear Lake Tracts and the limited number of other undeveloped vested plats in the County.

The Board asked if there was anyone present that wanted to address this public hearing that could not come back at 2:00 p.m.

No one indicated they could not be present for the continuance of the hearing.

**RECESS**

**The Board recessed at 10:55 am. for five minutes until 11:00 a.m. to proceed with the State of the County Address. (available on the website)**

**The Board recessed until 2:00 p.m.**

Deputy Prosecutor Darren Nienaber asked if the Planning Manager had a chance to review the letter from Advocates for Responsible Development.

Bob Fink, Planning Mgr, responded he understood Mr. Diehl may be concerned the provision may allow too many lots in the rural areas.

Mr. Nienaber asked if a person can make an old plat more usable with the current regulations by combining lots.

Mr. Fink responded the proposed provision is better than what is available now. If a landowner wanted to reconfigure a number of lots it could be done through a boundary line adjustment. Under the boundary line adjustment the owner would not lose any density. With the proposed provision there is a reduction in density in many circumstances.

**BOARD OF MASON COUNTY COMMISSIONERS' PROCEEDINGS  
MARCH 15, 2005 - PAGE 9**

Mr. Nienaber recommended the Board closes the public hearing and a decision be set for three weeks out.

Cmmr. Kamin and Ring Erickson commented they would appreciate the time to digest all that has been presented. It is also important to have Cmmr. Sheldon's thoughts and observations before they render a decision.

**Cmmr. Ring Erickson/Kamin moved and seconded that the public hearing on Ordinance 82-96 of Chapter 1.03.032 Development Densities and Dimension Requirements, be closed and that the decision on this matter be rendered on April 5, 2005. Motion carried unanimously. K-aye; RE-aye; S-absent.**

- 9.4 Public hearing to review proposed revisions to the Mason County Development Regulations, Ordinance No. 82-96, Chapter 1.03.032 Development Densities and Dimensional Requirements, adding provision (3)(c)(7) to review special cases of boundary line adjustment between two or more adjacent properties.

Allan Borden, Planner, stated that discussions from county staff, land surveyors and land title companies took place recently on the proposal. County staff has concluded that some flexibility in reviewing proposed boundary line adjustments (BLA) between several adjacent lots is merited, as long as one of the lots involved was a conforming size and the lots resulting from the BLA did not create a lot less than the nonconforming size.

Mr. Fink stated they cannot make a conforming lot a nonconforming lot. They cannot reduce the number of conforming lots.

He gave the example of a citizen with two properties and a house. The citizen wants to keep the house and sell a lot at a higher value. The citizen doesn't need five acres to have the house. The citizen wants to reduce the property she owns and get greater value for what she is selling. This kind of circumstance prompted this review.

No public comments were received. The Board closed the public comment portion.

Cmmr. Kamin stated she is familiar with a real life example and the need to preserve affordable housing opportunities in the rural areas for people on limited incomes.

Cmmr. Ring Erickson stated she is interested in serving the citizens well but at the same time keep the County in compliance with the Growth Management Act and not putting the county in jeopardy of reducing the densities or the buildable lots.

**Cmmr. Ring Erickson/Kamin moved and seconded to approve revisions to the Mason County Development Regulations, Ordinance No. 82-96, Chapter 1.03.032 Development Densities and Dimensional Requirements, adding provisions (3)(c)(7) to review special cases of boundary line adjustment between two or more adjacent properties, and move to have the chair sign the Findings of Fact for this decision. Motion carried unanimously. K-aye; RE-aye; S-absent. Ordinance No. 18-05 (Exhibit A)**

- 9.5 Public hearing time to review proposed revisions to the Mason County Development Regulations, Ordinance No. 82-96, Chapter 1.04.600 Rural Tourist zones: Chapter 1.04.603.C – adding provision to establish 10-foot setback for signs in Rural Tourist zones, and Chapter 1.04.613.C – adding provision to establish 10-foot setback for signs in Rural Tourist Campground zones.

**BOARD OF MASON COUNTY COMMISSIONERS' PROCEEDINGS  
MARCH 15, 2005 - PAGE 10**

Allan Borden, Planner, explained that the proposed revisions are to address issues for setbacks on signs on parcels zoned in rural tourist zones and rural tourist campground zones. Deputy Prosecutor Darren Nienaber asked that the Board receive an underlined version of the proposed changes.

Cmmr. Kamin asked if there is an example of a rural sign that is not 30' back. Most businesses in a rural area would there sign would have to be setback by 30. This proposal would change it to 10' setback.

Mr. Nienaber asked if the setback is from the right-of-way, or full length of the easement and not the pavement.

Mr. Fink responded that is correct.

Mr. Nienaber asked if there is other wording in the Development Regulations that clarifies the setback is from the right-of-way and not just pavement. There was a court case that raised a question of where the setback applies.

Mr. Borden responded yes.

No public comment was received.

**Cmmr. Ring Erickson/Kamin moved and seconded that in support of the recommendations of the Planning Advisory Commission, the Board of County Commissioners shall adopt Ordinance No. 19-05 that approves the proposed revisions to the Mason County Development Regulations, Ordinance No. 82-96, Chapter 1.04.600 Rural Tourist zones: Chapter 1.04.603.C – adding provision to establish 10-foot setback for signs in Rural Tourist zones, and Chapter 1.04.613.C – adding provision to establish 10-foot setback for signs in Rural Tourist Campground zones. Motion carried unanimously. K-aye; RE-aye; S-absent. Ordinance No. 19-05 (Exhibit B)**

10. Other Business (Department Heads and Elected Officials) – None

11. Administration – State of the County Address – Chairperson Jayni Kamin gave the State of the County Address, which is available on the website.

**The Board recessed immediately following the State of the County Address at 11:25 a.m. until 2:00 p.m.**

12. Board's Reports and Calendar – The Board gave calendar reports for the upcoming week and also summarized meetings attended since the last Commissioners' meeting.

13. Adjournment - The meeting adjourned at 2:39 p.m.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS  
MASON COUNTY, WASHINGTON

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jayni L. Kamin, Chairperson

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Lynda Ring Erickson, Commissioner

\_\_\_\_\_  
Rebecca S. Rogers, Clerk of the Board

\_\_\_\_\_  
Tim Sheldon, Commissioner

**BOARD OF MASON COUNTY COMMISSIONERS' PROCEEDINGS**

**MARCH 15, 2005 - PAGE 11**