

## BOARD OF MASON COUNTY COMMISSIONERS' PROCEEDINGS

DECEMBER 21, 2004

1. Call to Order – The meeting was called to order at 9:00 a.m.
2. Pledge of Allegiance – The Chairperson led the flag salute.
3. Roll Call – Present: Cmmr. Dist. 1 – Herb Baze; Cmmr. Dist. 2 – Wesley E. Johnson; Cmmr. Dist. 3 – Jayni L. Kamin.
4. Approval of Minutes – **Cmmr. Kamin/Baze moved and seconded to approve the briefing minutes of December 8 and 13, 2004. Cmmr Kamin abstained from the December 13, 2004 briefing minutes. Motion carried unanimously. B-aye; J-aye; K-aye.**
5. Board's Calendar for week of December 21 – 28, 2004.
6. Correspondence and Organizational Business
  - 6.1 Correspondence
    - 6.1.1 Thurston/Mason Chemical Dependency Advisory Board Applicant: Richard Bates
    - 6.1.2 Comments on the Belfair UGA Plan: Louis Cofoni; Bruce Landram; Larry Pazzaski; John Byerly; Bob Harris; Steve Swarhout, Mason County Fire Marshal; Steve Kutz, Mason County Dept. of Health Services; Mary Jean Hrbacek, Mason County Republican Central Committee Exec. Board; and Mike Boyle, North Bay Mortgage.
  - 6.2 Press Release – Mason County to Remove Fish Blockages. Staff: Charlie Butros announced the Salmon Recovery Fund Board (SRFB) awarded a total of \$700,000 in both Federal and State salmon recovery dollars for salmon barrier removal projects in Mason County. The money will be used to replace three culverts on County roads, which are severe barriers to migrating salmon. The first grant for \$440,000 was awarded to the South Puget Sound Salmon Enhancement Group. They are a non-profit organization that has partnered with the County on several similar projects in the past. The latest grant will fund the replacement of a culvert on Hiawata Creek where it passes under Island View Road. Combined with \$78,000 in matching funds from the County, the project will remove a deteriorated culvert and rehabilitate the downstream channel to improve fish passage. Two other projects, which received SRFB grants totaling \$260,000, will be carried out directly by Mason County. Mr. Butros said both of the projects are in western Mason County on streams that flow into the East Fork of the Satsop River. The County will contribute \$65,000 toward the projects. Mr. Butros commented that in addition to improving fish access, the funding is a significant contribution toward the County's road maintenance program. The projects will be carried out over the next two years.

Cmmr. Johnson stated it is great to have support from the SRFB funds and it also points out that removing the blockages is a very expensive operation.

Cmmr. Kamin asked Mr. Butros if the Fish Friendly Culvert signs would be posted at each of the culverts when they are completed.

Mr. Butros stated the signs have been posted at each of the culverts that have been completed recently and will also be posted at all future completed culverts.
  - 6.3 Press Release - Construction on Crestview Drive suspended for the winter. Staff: Charlie Butros announced the construction on Crestview Drive has been suspended for the winter and will be resumed in the spring of 2005. Unanticipated delays associated with utility relocations prevented the contractor, Bruch & Bruch Construction, from completing the project. The section of roadway under construction this year has been paved and temporary striping has been applied. The motorists have been urged to use extreme caution and observe the 35-mph speed limit during the construction suspension period. Mr.

**BOARD OF MASON COUNTY COMMISSIONERS' PROCEEDINGS  
DECEMBER 21, 2004 - PAGE 2**

Butros said the County road crews would perform routine maintenance such as snow plowing and debris removal from the roadway surface during the construction shutdown.

Mr. Butros commented that Mr. Ed Edminston provided comment to the Commissioners at a previous meeting expressing his concern that there was no centerline striping. Mr. Butros stated that on December 20, 2004 centerline striping was applied as a temporary measure pending completion of the construction. Mr. Butros stated that several other comments were received from members of the community that the 20 MPH speed limit was too low for the design of the road. As a result of the comments and re-looking at the road design the speed limit was raised to 35 MPH. The new speed limit and caution signs will be posted in the afternoon of December 21, 2004. The caution signs are to remind everyone the road is still only partially constructed and to continue to use precaution when traveling it.

Cmmr. Baze asked if there was fog striping on the partially constructed roadway.

Mr. Butros replied "no". There are discrepancies in the width because of the partial completion of the road so the decision was made to stay with just the centerline striping.

Cmmr. Kamin said she had driven to the site approximately a month ago and it appeared to be almost complete. She questioned how much more work needed to be done before it was complete.

Mr. Butros stated it does appear to be almost complete but it will take two or three months after the work is resumed before it will be complete.

Cmmr. Johnson thanked Mr. Butros for responding to the concerns of the citizens that were expressed in the last meeting and of those that contacted the Commissioners Department. He said it speaks well of the Public Works Department in responding to citizens' concerns for safety purposes.

7. Open Forum for Citizen Input (5 minutes per person, 15 minutes time limit)

Mr. Bob Harris addressed the Commissioners regarding the Belfair UGA Plan. He stated that he believes the North Mason citizens in majority reject the proposed plan. He said that North Mason is deeply divided by the plan.

Cmmr. Baze stated public comment on the Belfair UGA Plan had been closed on December 20, 2004 at Noon.

Mr. Harris stated that his comment was on occurrences that happened after the closing of the public testimony.

Mr. Darren Nienaber, Prosecuting Attorney stated the public has been notified that the record has been officially closed in respect to comment and the public participate process in the Belfair plan. But however there is a place on the agenda for public comments that Commissioners allow.

Mr. Harris stated his comment was an important issue to him and he was aware the public comment portion of the Belfair plan was closed. He requested that the Commissioners show leadership and pull people together. Mr. Harris felt if the Commissioners wait two years before a decision is made or have to correct the decision made now it would be two years too late. He stated that the plan is very contentious and it is dividing the citizens of the county. Mr. Harris discussed the structure of the UGA meeting plan. Mr. Harris felt that Mr. Fink shouldn't have been chairman of the advisory committee that he should have been ex-official member of the committee. He commented on the North Mason Chamber of Commerce meetings that were held. He stated the first meeting was a private meeting and the public was invited to the second meeting. At the second meeting only six people showed up and all six were against the plan. Mr. Harris felt that the petition that was turned in was very necessary. He requested the Commissioners wait for their decision until the Perteet report is final in early 2005 and until the Belfair SR3 committee and the public has a chance to review the report and makes their

**BOARD OF MASON COUNTY COMMISSIONERS' PROCEEDINGS  
DECEMBER 21, 2004 - PAGE 3**

recommendations because it is their money that is being spent. Mr. Harris stated that the Department of Transportation wouldn't sign onto a county funded road project on a Washington State highway until a very clear strong majority of the public supports it. He requested the decision be turned over to the new Commissioners to solve the issues relating to the plan.

Ms. Mary Jean Hrbacek read the letter she submitted in item 6.1.2 in correspondence as comments on the Belfair UGA Plan.

Mr. Randy Neatherlin apologized to Commissioner Kamin for his threatening comments to her regarding the Belfair plan that made her angry. He said he should have worded it in a better way and been more respectful.

Cmmr. Kamin clarified that she was not angry she was just being clear that she chose not to take his comments as threats. She stated her comments were not angry comments.

Mr. Neatherlin said he thought she was upset at his comments and again apologized. He said that people speak in many different ways and questioned when would they be heard. The decision made should reflect the publics' wishes. The phone calls, letters, town meetings, petitions and at the ballot box are all different ways the citizens have tried to communicate that their wishes are for the Commissioners to table their decision and until 2005. Mr. Neatherlin stated he is in agreement that the sewer system and that the bypass is needed but the process in which the decision has been made is causing the people to feel they are not being heard. The decision that is about to be made is going to record in history as a vote that the Commissioners listened to or defied the citizens in Mason County.

Mr. Bob Allen suggested that the Commissioners take to heart the comments that were received on the Belfair UGA. Mr. Allen also spoke as the Port of Grapeview Commissioner regarding the .08 money. He said that the Port of Allyn received a cover letter with a draft resolution attached. The cover letter stated public comments were being closed on December 22, 2004. The Port of Grapeview Commissioners had to legally change their meeting date to accommodate the response needed prior to the closing of the public comment. He said that once before he had stood before the Commissioners and requested a thirty-day notice in advance for all parties qualified for the money. The cover letter received by the Port of Grapeview is dated December 8, 2004 with a deadline of December 22, 2004. The letter created a problem for them because they couldn't approve a draft if it wasn't discussed at the previous months meeting. Mr. Allen felt that the open public meeting act of 1969 was not upheld because one of the County Commissioners spoke with the Port of Allyn Chairman to discuss the .08 funding. Mr. Harris stated the Port of Grapeview works closely with the Port of Allyn on some issues. Mr. Harris said the .08 money has no strings attached to it and the County is a funnel for the funding. His suggestion is that the County should just give the money to the Port of Allyn because they are a municipal government and they are subject to all the same rules and regulations as the county. Mr. Harris stated that he would again request a thirty-day notice of the funding to be able to respond with comments. In closing he stated the Port of Grapeview does not have any arguments against giving the Port of Allyn the .08 money but did make a motion in their meeting that the funding should be given without the stipulations outlined in the attached draft.

Troy Matheny stated he was an employee up until a week ago of Public Works as a GIS Technician. He said he was terminated during his probation period not for cause but for an administrative action after the fact. Mr. Matheny stated he was terminated for no driver's license. He said he was hired July 26, 2004 at which point there was no indication made that driving was a requirement. During his interview he asked if he would be required to drive for the position and the GIS manager informed him that it was a centrally based job and he would not be required to drive. When he accepted the position and went to Human Resources to provide identification he was asked to submit his driver's license and he had explained he didn't have one and that was accepted. On December 2, 2004 the county was notified of an infraction he had received and was brought in for questioning. He stated he had informed the county when he was hired that he didn't have a valid license. On December 2, 2004 he was told it was a clerical error that there should have been an indication for driving. On

**BOARD OF MASON COUNTY COMMISSIONERS' PROCEEDINGS  
DECEMBER 21, 2004 - PAGE 4**

December 14, 2004 he was terminated for the lack of a valid license. Mr. Matheny requested that the Commissioners review his position and the need for having a license to perform his job. He feels that he is very qualified for his job and has enjoyed working for Mason County and would like the Commissioners to reconsider his employment requirements.

Cmmr. Johnson thanked Mr. Matheny and stated they would look into his circumstances.

Mr. Ray Hanson thanked the Commissioners for their services to the community and said the three of them have worked well as a team.

Cmmr. Johnson commented to Mr. Hanson that it has always been a pleasure to have him attend the Board meetings and that they all looked forward to his presence.

Open forum closed.

8. Adoption of Agenda – **Cmmr. Baze/Kamin moved and seconded to adopt the agenda as presented. Motion carried unanimously. B-aye; J-aye; K-aye.**
  
9. Approval of Consent Agenda: All items listed under the “Consent Agenda” are considered to be routine by the Commission and will be enacted by one motion unless a Commissioner or citizen so requests, in which event the item will be removed from the Consent Agenda and considered in its normal sequence on the agenda.
  - 9.1 Approval of Warrants

|                      |               |              |
|----------------------|---------------|--------------|
| Salary Clearing Fund | 144562-144811 | \$202,113.16 |
| Claims Clearing Fund | 81907-82377   | \$679,373.64 |
  - 9.2 Approval of Veterans Assistance Fund application for: George W. Roberts – utilities \$138.22 & food \$461.78; Alan H. Doney – housing \$300.00; and Ned O. Forrest – utilities \$113.01 & food \$27.23 for a total of \$1,040.24.
  - 9.3 Approval and sign the contract amendment #1 between Mason County and the Department of Ecology for the Oakland Bay Restoration and Shellfish Protection Project with the revised language in 1.2 and 1.4 and the due date extended to June 30, 2005. There is no change in the funding of this grant.
  - 9.4 Approval to renew the interagency agreement between Mason County Juvenile Court Services and Juvenile Rehabilitation Administration.
  - 9.5 Approval of the interdepartmental request and agreement for reimbursable work by Mason County Public Works to provide snow and/or ice removal for Squaxin Island Tribe on reservation roads on a “per hour” basis during the 2005 winter season.
  - 9.6 Approval to update the Certification Acceptance Qualification Agreement between Mason County Public Works and Washington State Department of Transportation and authorize the Chairperson to execute the agreement.
  - 9.7 Approval of the restructured Public Works Organizational Chart for submittal to the County Road Administration Board.
  - 9.8 Approval to appoint of Richard Bates to serve on the Thurston/Mason Chemical Dependency Advisory Board, representing Mason County.
  - 9.9 Approval of the resolution amending Resolution 36-97, Mason County Electronic Information Acceptable Use Policy. **Resolution 129-04 (Exhibit A)**
  - 9.10 Approval of the resolution amending Resolution 94-04, to amend Chapter 8.6 in the Mason County Personnel Policies to incorporate the amended Electronic Information Acceptable Use Policy. **Resolution 130-04 (Exhibit B)**
  - 9.11 Approval of an application to the Washington Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs for the Local Law Enforcement Block Grant program for telecommunication upgrade and authorize the Chair to sign. The application is in the amount of \$6,500.

**BOARD OF MASON COUNTY COMMISSIONERS' PROCEEDINGS  
DECEMBER 21, 2004 - PAGE 5**

**Cmmr. Kamin/Baze moved and seconded to adopt the consent agenda as presented. Motion carried unanimously. B-aye; J-aye; K-aye.**

10. 9:30 a.m. Public Hearings and Items Set for a Certain Time

- 10.1 Public hearing to consider a 2004 budget transfer in the amount of \$158,251 in the Current Expense Fund. Ms. Ione Siegler Budget & Finance Director stated the 2004 Current Expense Fund Budget needed to be amended in the amount of \$129,000 for the 2004 wage settlement for the deputies in the Sheriff's Office. As part of the wage settlement there was an arbitrator's decision regarding the banking and payment of holiday pay. The Sheriff's Deputies do have mandatory arbitration and the decision is final. The decision was made in favor of the County by stating holiday pay could be paid out every year rather than banking it because it was creating a rather large liability. In the future, the County will be in a better financial position without the large liability. Sixty thousand of the amended amount requested is what the Sheriff's Office had estimated the cost of holiday pay in 2004. Superior Court because of the number of trials needed an amendment in the amount of \$27,050 to cover the unexpected costs of trials during the year. The State Examiners required more hours to complete the 2003 audit so an amendment in the amount of \$2,000 is being requested to pay the State Auditor's Office.

Cmmr. Johnson noted that the \$10,000.00 requested for superior court to cover unexpected jury services shows how many citizens served for the court during 2004..

Ms. Siegler stated that is always hard to estimate how many jury trials will go to court each year. During 2004 there were more jury trials.

No public comment.

Public comment closed.

**Cmmr. Baze/Kamin moved and seconded to approve a 2004 Budget Transfer in the Current Expense Fund in the amount of \$158,251. Motion carried unanimously. B-aye; K-aye; J-aye.**

- 10.2 Public hearing to consider a speed limit change to 35 mph on county road Old Olympic Highway from milepost 0.51 to milepost 2.94. Staff: Charlie Butros said there was an extensive road construction project modifying Old Olympic Highway's curves and dips to create a more uniform road surface. Mr. Butros stated the speed limits prior to the construction were 35 mph in two sections of the project and 30 mph in one section. There was a portion in Old Olympic Highway that was not affected by the construction improvement work and that speed limit would remain at 35 mph. Mr. Butros recommended raising the speed limit from 30 mph to 35 mph for the section of the roadway between the Skookum Creek Bridge and the intersection at Bloomfield. That was the section that had been previously posted at 30 mph, primarily because of the steep grades, the narrow roadway and the many curves that wouldn't allow speeds beyond that. Now that the roadway project and the pavement project is complete he is proposing the speed limit be made consistent for all Old Olympic Highway at 35-mph. The road was designed for a 45-mph speed limit but after performing the required speed limit test they found that 35-mph was an extremely safe speed for that section of the roadway.

Cmmr. Baze questioned if making the speed limit consistent was the primary reason for the change.

Mr. Butros replied yes.

Public Comment opened

Mr. Frank Wokojanec said he lives on the Old Olympic Highway where the construction took place. He said that even during construction there were local people driving faster than the posted speed limit. He

**BOARD OF MASON COUNTY COMMISSIONERS' PROCEEDINGS  
DECEMBER 21, 2004 - PAGE 6**

is requesting the Commissioners to leave the speed limit at 30 mph until the local people can learn to drive the current 30-mph. He also requested that photo speed control equipment be installed because of the lack of law enforcement in the area.

Cmmr. Baze requested Mr. Butros to explain the 70% speed law.

Mr. Butros responded to Mr. Wokojanec's comments he said there is a law that establishes the speed limits on roads based on the 70% rule. Which is 70% of the traffic traveling over the roadway at a certain speed indicates a comfort level for safe driving at that speed. Mr. Butros stated the road was tested with their equipment and has been found that 35 mph is a very safe speed for the proposed section of the roadway. It is an unfortunate issue that people travel the road too fast but it is not a design issue and 35 mph is a safe speed limit for that portion of the roadway.

Cmmr. Johnson asked Mr. Butros if he had any comment on the photo control equipment that Mr. Wokojanec had indicated.

Mr. Butros stated there are not any of those devices installed in Mason County. He stated they would consider it but he would need to look into the equipment because he doesn't have enough information on them.

Cmmr. Baze questioned Mr. Butros if the speed limit is posted below the safe speed for the road design and people consistently travel over the posted speed that the citation would not hold up in court.

Mr. Butros stated he did not have enough information on the subject to respond.

Mr. Wokojanec spoke about Home Meats, which is a business on his road. He said the commercial enterprise creates a problem of outside traffic on that road and those people don't respect the speed limit.

Public comment closed.

Cmmr. Baze said it puts the Commissioners in a tough spot when people want to keep the speed limit low but with the repairs and new designs of the roadways the speed limit tests suggest a higher speed to be set and measure safety standards that are met.

Cmmr. Kamin stated that people who speed tend to speed regardless of the posted speed. She feels that the recommendation to make the speed limit consistent throughout the road would encourage people travel at the same speed all the way through it.

**Cmmr. Kamin/Baze moved and seconded to concur with the Department of Public Works for a speed limit change to 35 mph on Old Olympic Highway, from milepost 0.51 to milepost 2.94. Motion carried unanimously. B-aye; K-aye; J-aye. Resolution No. 131-04 (Exhibit C)**

- 10.3 Public hearing to consider Road Vacation #333, portion of right of way on Webster Lane, as requested by Willard & Christine Laurie. Staff: Mick Sprouffske stated Mr. & Mrs. Laurie are requesting the County to vacate a portion of right of way on Webster Lane within the Wilder and Himes Subdivision of Lots 1 & 21 of the Plat of Longwood Beach as shown on the attached exhibit map (shaded area). The Lauries are the owners of Lot 15 of the said plat. Mr. Sprouffske stated the Public Works Department has viewed the public right of way proposed for vacation. The County Engineer foresees the future need for the requested right of way. Webster Lane is a one-lane dead end road. The proposed vacation is the likely site of a future cul-de-sac or hammerhead turn around.

**BOARD OF MASON COUNTY COMMISSIONERS' PROCEEDINGS  
DECEMBER 21, 2004 - PAGE 7**

Cmmr. Baze questioned if the one lane road was county owned.

Mr. Sprouffske replied "yes" and its design was more like a paved driveway.

Cmmr. Johnson asked what Mr. Sprouffske's recommendation was.

Mr. Sprouffske stated the Engineer's recommendation was to deny the petition as requested.

Cmmr. Baze asked if was because from the County's standpoint that the proposed property may be needed to improve the road in that area.

Mr. Sprouffske replied "yes" the proposed site of the vacation is within four to five hundred feet of the actual end of the County maintained portion of the road. He commented that if there were a fire down that road there is already not enough room to maneuver a fire truck around.

Cmmr. Baze asked if the one lane road as it is now meets the fire protection standards.

Mr. Sprouffske replied the road is not in with the fire protection standards.

Public Comment opened.

Mr. & Mrs. Laurie requested the Commissioners to look at the map presented of their road. They said the county has not worked on their road since 1951 when a culvert was installed. The culvert installed floods their property when there have been rainstorms. Mrs. Laurie pointed out the exact area on the map they are requesting to be vacated. Their intentions are to build a garage and remove an existing out-building. The adjoining property belongs to their relatives. The Laurie's have installed a drain system that allows the water to flow directly into Hood Canal. Mrs. Laurie stated she had spoke with Mr. Sprouffske about putting a larger drain pipe system for better drainage if Mason County would vacate the land they are requesting. Mrs. Laurie stated Mason County has abandoned the property since about 1958.

Cmmr. Johnson asked Mr. Sprouffske how Mr. & Mrs. Laurie's proposal would work for the county.

Mr. Sprouffske responded stated the reason for their request is to be able to build a garage on the property. The case law does not support the building of anything within a dedicated right of way. They would not legally have the use of that right of way to build. The public's interest in the vacation is what is removed. There are rights that were gained by everyone that has bought a lot within that Platt.

Cmmr. Johnson asked if a permit could be obtained for building.

Mr. Sprouffske stated that it was his understanding a permit could not be obtained for building.

Cmmr. Baze asked if the reason a permit could not be obtained was because of the right of way.

Mr. Sprouffske stated he believed it was called a common dedicated theory. Just as the Lauries did they bought their property based on a map that was handed to them and on that map there was right of way's platted. The platted right of ways would guarantee each of them access. The public right can be vacated but to his knowledge there's a process that would require releases from every owner that own property accessed by the right of way.

Cmmr. Johnson stated that process probably should be done prior to the county vacating their ownership.

**BOARD OF MASON COUNTY COMMISSIONERS' PROCEEDINGS  
DECEMBER 21, 2004 - PAGE 8**

Mr. Sprouffske stated that by looking at the map there appears to be a lot of room at the end of the road for a cul-de-sac. He said the radius of a cul-de-sac is fifty feet and all the county property would need to be preserved for it.

Cmmr. Baze questioned how many lots that road accesses.

Mr. Sprouffske made a guess at about ten to fifteen lots.

Mrs. Laurie stated only about eight lots used the road to access their lots. She also stated that one of the homes down their road caught on fire and all of the fire truck and equipment made it down their road and back out without any problems. There have been several occasions where the ambulance and fire trucks and other large trucks have been able to drive down the road and maneuver back out. Mrs. Laurie stated they are not requesting to build anything huge. They are requesting to build a 24 x 26-foot garage. The reason they would like to tear down the old building standing there and build the garage there is because there is power, water and sewer at that location. The past owners used the old building as a guesthouse at one point.

Mr. Sprouffske stated that the Lauries have amended their request and are now requesting a portion just along the south line of lot 16A.

Cmmr. Baze questioned how much room is there between the proposed lot line and the existing road.

Mr. Laurie stated there was 70 to 80 feet. He said when he first contacted Mr. Sprouffske with their request he suggested they get a survey done. Mr. Laurie stated he did pay \$2,000 for a survey and is waiting until the final answer of the vacation request before they have it recorded.

Mrs. Laurie stated that their family owns the adjoining property and they plan to make a circular driveway between the two properties.

Cmmr. Johnson requested Mr. Sprouffske to continue working with Mr. & Mrs. Laurie to see if a solution could be worked out for both the County and the Laurie's.

Mr. Sprouffske stated he would have to confer with the County Engineer.

Cmmr. Baze suggested that since Mr. & Mrs. Laurie have amended their request maybe Mr. Sprouffske could go over the new proposal and make a recommendation. He questioned Mr. Butros, County Engineer, if there was a need for the road to be extended.

Mr. Butros stated his concern was there is a one-lane road into there. He stated Mr. & Mrs. Laurie may be correct there may never be a road extended through there in their life time but a future generation may see or expect a two lane through there. With the rules and regulations getting more stringent and he understands there has been a fire truck down the road but it is very limited and it may be needed in the future to extend or widen the road to accommodate the larger vehicles. Mr. Butros suggested that the Commissioners take a drive out to the road and see it. Mr. Butros stated that it costs a lot of money when doing a road improvement project to obtain a right of way. There is a large cost of money for a small piece of land. Mr. Butros said he hates to give up ground when there is already a narrow roadway. Maybe in twenty-five years from now it needs fixed and so he doesn't want to leave it to the next engineer to figure out a solution that could have been prevented by not vacating a right of way.

Cmmr. Baze asked Mr. & Mrs. Laurie if the right of way was not granted, would there be room to put a garage on their property.

**BOARD OF MASON COUNTY COMMISSIONERS' PROCEEDINGS  
DECEMBER 21, 2004 - PAGE 9**

Mr. Laurie replied "no" that the proposed road that would come down through is right on the edge of the drain field. He said that the Commissioners would need to come look at it see what he was facing.

Mrs. Laurie stated that the driveways for the homes on the upper level of their road have never had a problem with the one way road system. She also stated that if the County put a road through there they would have to take out homes and would hit water because they are on a little peninsula that sticks out and is surrounded by water.

Public comment closed.

**Cmmr. Baze/Kamin moved and seconded to close the hearing and render a decision on December 28, 2004 under item 11 as other business. Motion carried unanimously. B-aye; K-aye; J-aye.**

11. Other Business (Department Heads and Elected Officials)

- 11.1 Consideration of amendments to the Mason County Comprehensive Plan regarding the Belfair Urban Growth Area and of amendments to the county Development Regulations to implement those plan amendments. Staff: Bob Fink

Mr. Darren Nienaber, Prosecuting Attorney, recommended continuing the decision for one week. There is an administrative appeal and because there is no final decision rendered on it, he recommends continuing the decision until December 28, 2004.

**Cmmr. Baze/Kamin moved and seconded to continue the decision until December 28, 2004. Motion carried unanimously. B-aye; K-aye; J-aye.**

12. Administration – None

13. Adjournment – The meeting adjourned at 10:24 a.m.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS  
MASON COUNTY, WASHINGTON

\_\_\_\_\_  
Wesley E. Johnson, Chairperson

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jayni L. Kamin, Commissioner

\_\_\_\_\_  
Rebecca S. Rogers, Clerk of the Board

\_\_\_\_\_  
Herb Baze, Commissioner